

Procter & Gamble: Mastering Global Markets Through Innovation, Strategy, and Consumer Excellence

Diya¹ & P. S. Aithal²

¹ MBA Scholar, Poornaprajna Institute of Management, Udupi - 576101, India, ORCID iD: 0009-0003-0533-4499; Email: diya.mbab24@pim.ac.in,

² Professor, Poornaprajna Institute of Management, Udupi - 576101, India, ORCID iD: 0000-0002-4691-8736; E-mail: psaithal@pim.ac.in

Area/Section: Business Management.

Type of the Paper: Case Study-based Exploratory Research.

Number of Peer Reviews: Two.

Type of Review: Peer Reviewed as per [C|O|P|E](#) guidance.

Indexed in: OpenAIRE.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17340100>

Google Scholar Citation: [PIJTRCS](#)

How to Cite this Paper:

Diya & Aithal, P. S. (2025). Procter & Gamble: Mastering Global Markets Through Innovation, Strategy, and Consumer Excellence. *Poornaprajna International Journal of Teaching & Research Case Studies (PIJTRCS)*, 2(2), 316-347. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17340100>

Poornaprajna International Journal of Teaching & Research Case Studies (PIJTRCS)

A Refereed International Journal of Poornaprajna Publication, India.

ISSN: 3107-8494

Crossref DOI: <https://doi.org/10.64818/PIJTRCS.3107.8494.0031>

Received on: 18/09/2025

Published on: 13/10/2025

© With Authors.



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial 4.0 International License](#), subject to proper citation to the publication source of the work.

Disclaimer: The scholarly papers as reviewed and published by Poornaprajna Publication (P.P.), India, are the views and opinions of their respective authors and are not the views or opinions of the PP. The PP disclaims of any harm or loss caused due to the published content to any party.

Procter & Gamble: Mastering Global Markets Through Innovation, Strategy, and Consumer Excellence

Diya¹ & P. S. Aithal²

¹ MBA Scholar, Poornaprajna Institute of Management, Udupi - 576101, India, ORCID iD: 0009-0003-0533-4499; Email: diya.mbab24@pim.ac.in,

² Professor, Poornaprajna Institute of Management, Udupi - 576101, India, ORCID iD: 0000-0002-4691-8736; E-mail: psaithal@pim.ac.in

ABSTRACT

Purpose: *The purpose of this scholarly paper, “Procter & Gamble: Mastering Global Markets Through Innovation, Strategy, and Consumer Excellence,” is to analyze how P&G integrates innovation, strategic management, and consumer-driven approaches to sustain leadership in the global FMCG sector. The study aims to uncover the interconnections between technology, sustainability, and stakeholder collaboration that shape P&G’s long-term competitiveness and value creation. Ultimately, it seeks to derive actionable insights that can guide multinational corporations in balancing profitability with purpose and market responsiveness.*

Methodology: *This study adopts a descriptive and analytical research design to examine Procter & Gamble’s global business performance and strategic management practices. Both secondary and qualitative data were utilized, collected from credible sources such as P&G’s annual reports, SEC filings, scholarly journals, business databases, and reputable industry analyses. Analytical tools, including SWOC analysis, ABCD framework, financial performance assessment, and technological strategy evaluation, were applied to interpret P&G’s operational efficiency, innovation strategy, and market responsiveness. The methodology emphasizes a case study approach, enabling an in-depth understanding of how P&G leverages innovation, consumer insights, and sustainability to maintain global competitiveness and long-term growth.*

Analysis & Suggestions: *The analysis reveals that Procter & Gamble’s sustained success is rooted in its strong brand portfolio, continuous innovation, and data-driven consumer engagement, which together enhance global competitiveness. However, the study identifies challenges such as market saturation, rising operational costs, and the need for faster digital adaptation across emerging markets. It is suggested that P&G intensify its focus on localized innovation, expand sustainable product lines, and strengthen digital transformation to further enhance agility, profitability, and long-term market leadership.*

Originality/Value: *This study offers a unique, multidimensional evaluation of Procter & Gamble by integrating SWOC, ABCD, financial, and technological strategy analyses within a single framework. It contributes original value by linking innovation, sustainability, and consumer-centric strategy to measurable business outcomes, highlighting how P&G effectively balances profit and purpose. The insights derived serve as a valuable reference for academics, policymakers, and business leaders seeking to understand and replicate sustainable success in the global FMCG sector.*

Type of Paper: *Exploratory Case Study.*

Keywords: Procter & Gamble, P&G, FMCG, Brand Management, SWOC Analysis, ABCD Analysis, Financial Analysis, Technological Strategy, Consumer Satisfaction, Innovation, Global Business Strategy, Marketing Excellence

1. INTRODUCTION :

The Fast-Moving Consumer Goods (FMCG) sector is characterized by rapid product turnover, high consumer demand, and intense competition. Within this dynamic industry, Procter & Gamble (P&G) stands as a leading multinational corporation, renowned for its extensive portfolio of trusted brands

across personal care, beauty, grooming, health care, and household products. Conducting a comprehensive company analysis is essential to understand the strategic manoeuvres that enable firms like P&G to maintain market leadership. Such analyses provide insights into how companies adapt to market changes, leverage their strengths, and address challenges to sustain growth and profitability (Barney (1991). [1]; Woodside & Wilson (2003). [2]).

Exploratory research plays a pivotal role in business case studies by facilitating the investigation of complex phenomena where variables are not well-defined. This approach is particularly valuable in understanding the multifaceted strategies employed by companies in the FMCG sector. By using exploratory research methods, researchers can identify hidden patterns, formulate hypotheses, and create theoretical models that advance the study of strategic management (Van den Besselaar & Heimeriks, (2006). [3]; Rahimian & Rajabzadeh Ghatari (2017). [4]).

A robust company analysis integrates various analytical frameworks to assess different dimensions of a company's operations. The SWOC (Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Challenges) analysis provides a strategic overview of internal and external factors affecting the company. The ABCD (Advantages, Benefits, Constraints, and Decisions) analysis offers a nuanced understanding of strategic choices and their implications. Financial analysis evaluates the company's economic performance, while technological strategy assessment examines the role of innovation and technology in sustaining competitive advantage. Together, these frameworks offer a comprehensive view of a company's strategic positioning and operational effectiveness (Aithal (2017). [5]; Lichtenthaler (2011). [6]).

In the competitive landscape of the FMCG industry, aligning products and services with customer expectations is crucial for sustaining market share. Analyses that include customer satisfaction measurements offer practical insights into consumer behaviour and preferences. By understanding these dynamics, companies like P&G can refine their offerings, improve customer experiences, and foster brand loyalty. This customer-centric approach not only enhances satisfaction but also drives long-term business success (Luo & Bhattacharya (2006). [7]; Aithal (2017). [8]).

This paper is structured to provide a comprehensive analysis of P&G's strategies and performance. After this introduction, the study provides a comprehensive company profile, exploring P&G's business model and its development over time. The following sections offer a detailed analysis using SWOC, ABCD, financial, and technological strategy frameworks. The study concludes with recommendations aimed at enhancing customer satisfaction and aligning business strategies with evolving consumer expectations. Through this structured approach, the paper contributes to the understanding of strategic management practices in the FMCG sector.

2. ABOUT COMPANY :

2.1 Global Brand Leadership:

Procter & Gamble (P&G) has emerged as a prominent global leader in the FMCG sector by consistently offering high-quality products and establishing a strong brand presence. With operations spanning over 180 countries, the company leverages its diverse portfolio of personal care, household, and health products to maintain consumer trust and market dominance. By employing effective brand management and focused marketing strategies, P&G has developed brands that appeal to diverse consumer segments (Aaker (2012). [9]; Keller (2003). [10]).

The company's leadership is further reinforced through innovative advertising campaigns, strategic collaborations, and the use of consumer insights to inform product development and regional marketing strategies. By tailoring brand messages and product offerings to suit local preferences while maintaining a consistent global brand identity, P&G strengthens emotional connections with consumers and fosters long-term loyalty. P&G's forward-looking approach in establishing industry benchmarks, enhancing brand equity, and implementing corporate social responsibility programs creates a lasting competitive edge that competitors find challenging to imitate (Schivinski & Dabrowski (2016). [11]; Christodoulides & De Chernatony (2010). [12]; Yoo & Donthu (2001). [13]; Chauhan (2023). [14]; Dwivedi & McDonald (2018). [15]; Bonifield & Tomas (2009). [16]).

2.2 Innovation & Consumer-Centric Approach:

Procter & Gamble (P&G) places innovation at the heart of its business strategy, continually introducing new products and enhancing existing ones to meet evolving consumer demands. By allocating substantial resources to research and development (R&D), the company incorporates advanced

technologies into its product lines, ensuring they align with current market expectations. This focus on innovation supports product differentiation and strengthens P&G's competitive position in the FMCG sector (Brown & Wyatt (2015). [17]; Dodgson et al. (2008). [18]; Tidd (2005). [19]).

A consumer-focused strategy is integral to P&G's operations, highlighting the importance of understanding customer preferences, behaviours, and market trends. The company utilizes extensive market research, advanced data analytics, and continuous feedback mechanisms to design products and marketing campaigns that resonate with diverse consumer groups across different regions. This approach allows P&G to anticipate shifts in demand, tailor offerings to specific markets, and reinforce brand loyalty (Prahalad & Ramaswamy (2004). [20]; Pinegar (2006). [21]; Christensen et al. (2016). [22]).

Additionally, P&G fosters innovation through collaboration across R&D, marketing, and supply chain teams, accelerating product development while maintaining efficiency. The company actively engages in open innovation, co-creation with consumers, and iterative experimentation, cultivating a culture of creativity and agility. By merging technological innovation with a deep understanding of consumer preferences, P&G creates products that satisfy current demands and anticipate future market shifts, supporting enduring growth and a resilient competitive advantage (Chesbrough (2003). [23]; Enkel et al. (2009). [24]; Hoyer et al. (2010). [25]; Goffin & Mitchell (2025). [26]).

2.3 Sustainability & Purpose-Driven Strategy:

Procter & Gamble (P&G) has intricately woven sustainability and purpose-driven initiatives into the core of its business philosophy, creating a blueprint where profitability harmonizes with positive social and environmental impact. The company pursues a holistic approach, encompassing eco-conscious product design, responsible sourcing of raw materials, energy-efficient manufacturing processes, and innovative packaging solutions that minimize waste and carbon footprint. Beyond environmental stewardship, P&G actively invests in global programs that enhance health, hygiene, and education, demonstrating a commitment to improving quality of life in communities where it operates. By combining business performance with a commitment to social impact, P&G establishes itself not only as an industry leader but also as a pioneer in responsible and ethical corporate practices (Epstein & Roy (2001). [27]; Porter & Kramer (2018). [28]; Montiel & Delgado-Ceballos (2014). [29]).

P&G's purpose-driven strategy goes beyond compliance or corporate philanthropy; it is embedded in strategic decision-making, innovation pipelines, and brand storytelling. By aligning its business objectives with broader societal goals, the company cultivates trust and loyalty among conscious consumers who increasingly value brands that reflect their values. Moreover, P&G works closely with non-governmental organizations, government agencies, and community groups to implement initiatives that address significant social and environmental challenges. This proactive, multi-stakeholder engagement not only drives sustainable growth but also sets industry-wide benchmarks for ethical leadership and corporate responsibility. Through its integration of sustainability, innovation, and purpose, P&G demonstrates that forward-thinking corporations can thrive economically while leaving a meaningful, positive imprint on the world (Charles Jr. et al. (2017). [30]; Eccles et al. (2014). [31]; Nidumolu et al. (2013). [32]; Crane et al. (2019). [33]; Sharma & Henriques (2005). [34]; Schaltegger & Wagner (2011). [35]; Kruse (2007). [36]).

3. REVIEW OF LITERATURE :

3.1 Innovation & R&D:

P&G places innovation and R&D at the heart of its corporate strategy, continuously launching new products and enhancing existing ones to address evolving consumer needs. The company blends robust internal R&D with open innovation and consumer co-creation to accelerate breakthroughs and maintain competitive differentiation. As highlighted by Brown & Wyatt (2015) [37], design thinking enables P&G to reimagine products, while Prahalad & Ramaswamy (2004) [38] and Hoyer et al. (2010) [39] emphasize the importance of co-creation with consumers in driving innovation. Through agile development methods, P&G can move rapidly from early prototypes to full market-ready products, ensuring faster adaptation to consumer needs.

Data-driven insights and customer-centric strategies play a crucial role in P&G's product innovation. Pinegar (2006) [40] stresses the role of outcome-driven innovation, while Christensen et al. (2016) [41] underline how "jobs-to-be-done" frameworks help companies align R&D with consumer expectations.

According to Dodgson et al. (2008) [42], along with Tidd (2005) [43], effective management of technological innovation relies on cross-functional collaboration—a practice that P&G employs to optimize its pipelines, improve adaptability, and maintain efficiency in operations.

To remain agile, P&G supports pilot programs, internal startups, and external partnerships with universities, startups, and consumer groups. This aligns with Chesbrough (2003) [44] and (nkel et al. (2009) [45], who stress the significance of open innovation and open R&D. Furthermore, Goffin & Mitchell (2025) [46] emphasize that effective innovation management relies on structured implementation, which P&G integrates into its practices. Sustainability is also embedded in P&G's R&D, with Nidumolu et al. (2013) [47] highlighting sustainability as a driver of innovation and Schaltegger & Wagner (2011) [48] reinforcing its link to long-term resilience. Collectively, these perspectives demonstrate that P&G's R&D model—anchored in internal excellence, open collaboration, consumer insight, and sustainability—ensures its leadership in the global FMCG sector.

3.2 Consumer-Centric Models:

Consumer-centric models emphasize placing customer needs, preferences, and experiences at the core of business strategy, ensuring that innovation and value creation directly respond to market demands. Companies that weave consumer insights into their strategy gain higher loyalty, agility, and long-term competitiveness. According to Sheth et al. (2000) [49], firms adopting consumer-centric strategies achieve sustainable differentiation by aligning business practices with evolving customer expectations. Likewise, Narver & Slater (1990) [50] note that customer orientation boosts performance by driving innovation and guiding better decisions.

The importance of co-creation in consumer-centric models has been underscored by Prahalad & Ramaswamy (2004) [51], who argue that involving consumers in product and service development enhances relevance and engagement. Building on this, Hoyer et al. (2010) [52] show that involving consumers in co-creation enhances innovation by embedding their knowledge into product design and delivery. Furthermore, Payne et al. (2008) [53] stress that value co-creation requires ongoing consumer interactions, creating shared experiences and deepening trust.

Technology and data-driven insights are essential for enhancing consumer-focused strategies. Verhoef et al. (2009). [54] point out that customer experience management relies on capturing, analyzing, and leveraging consumer data across multiple touchpoints. Alongside this, Lemon & Verhoef (2016) [55] note that effective customer journey mapping allows firms to align R&D with consumer expectations at every stage. Research by Ulwick (1999) [56] highlights outcome-driven innovation as a structured way to align business strategy with consumer-defined success metrics.

Sustainability and ethical considerations further strengthen consumer-centric models. Nidumolu et al. (2009) [57] argue that sustainability is no longer optional but central to innovation and consumer trust. Meanwhile, Abeysekera et al. (2020) [58] emphasize the rise of "human-centric marketing," where companies must blend profit with purpose to meet the demands of socially conscious consumers. Moreover, Grönroos & Voima (2013) [59] propose that firms must view consumers as active participants in value creation rather than passive recipients, enabling a shift toward more collaborative business ecosystems.

Collectively, these studies reveal that consumer-centric models rely on co-creation, data-driven strategies, sustainability, and experience management to sustain competitive advantage and long-term growth.

3.3 Sustainability & CSR:

P&G embeds sustainability and corporate social responsibility (CSR) at the core of its business model, positioning environmental and social initiatives as integral to long-term competitiveness. By integrating sustainable product design, responsible sourcing, and waste reduction into operations, the company not only lowers its ecological footprint but also reinforces consumer trust and corporate reputation (Epstein & Roy (2001). [60]; Montiel & Delgado-Ceballos (2014). [61]).

The concept of shared value provides a useful lens for understanding how business goals can align with social outcomes, where initiatives in health, hygiene, and education generate mutual benefits for both the company and society (Jackson et al. (1994). [62]; Nidumolu et al. (2013). [63]). Empirical studies confirm that adopting sustainability practices enhances operational efficiency, fosters innovation, and contributes to superior financial performance (Eccles et al. (2014). [64]; Hart (1995). [65]).

Proactive approaches such as eco-friendly packaging, renewable energy use, and sustainable sourcing reflect risk management strategies that safeguard regulatory compliance and long-term shareholder value (Sharma & Henriques (2005). [66]; Lo & Sheu (2007). [67]). Embedding sustainability into innovation pipelines further encourages the development of environmentally responsible products that appeal to shifting consumer preferences and open new market opportunities (Schaltegger & Wagner (2011). [68]).

Stakeholder collaboration with NGOs, governments, and communities helps co-create solutions to environmental and social challenges. Such partnerships scale impact, enhance legitimacy, and solidify a company’s position as a responsible market leader Aragón-Correa & Sharma (2003). [69]. Overall, the literature indicates that purpose-driven orientation, when combined with embedded sustainability practices and collaborative networks, enhances resilience, supports innovation, and builds enduring competitive advantage in the FMCG sector.

3.3 Current Status:

Table 1 contains a summary of the *current status* of published scholarly research on P&G, highlighting key themes with some peer-reviewed journal articles:

Table 1: Current status of published scholarly research on P&G

S. No.	Key Issue	Current Status	Reference
1	Open Innovation Model	Research confirms P&G’s “Connect + Develop” is a benchmark for open innovation, combining external partnerships with internal R&D.	Huston & Sakkab (2006). [70]
2	R&D Collaboration Platforms	Studies highlight P&G’s use of collaborative platforms (universities, startups) to accelerate product development.	Dodgson et al. (2006). [71]
3	Consumer Journey Mapping	Literature underscores the importance of mapping touchpoints to enhance customer experience across channels.	Lemon & Verhoef (2016). [72]
4	Agility in Prototyping	Agile methods and rapid prototyping are shown to significantly shorten innovation cycles and improve responsiveness.	Tidd (2005). [73]
5	Outcome-driven Innovation	Outcome-driven frameworks help align product features with quantifiable consumer goals and needs.	Pinegar (2006). [74]
6	Jobs-to-be-Done Framework	The “Jobs-to-be-Done” idea is used to improve product-market fit and customer-centric innovation.	Christensen et al. (2016). [75]
7	Brand Equity Measurement	Multidimensional brand equity models help track how brand investments impact performance in FMCG.	Yoo & Donthu (2001). [76]
8	Sustainability Innovation	Scholars indicate sustainability drives innovation within product lines and manufacturing processes.	Nidumolu et al. (2013). [77]
9	Corporate Sustainability Performance	Empirical evidence links sustainability integration to improved organizational processes and financial outcomes.	Eccles et al. (2014). [78]
10	Stakeholder Partnerships	Research shows cross-sector collaboration (e.g., with NGOs) enhances social impact and brand legitimacy.	Aragón-Correa & Sharma (2003). [79]

Overall, P&G’s strategic orientation reflects how open innovation enhances R&D efficiency, consumer-centric frameworks such as journey mapping and Jobs-to-be-Done improve product–market alignment,

agile prototyping accelerates responsiveness, sustainability-driven practices integrate innovation with responsibility, and cross-sector partnerships reinforce both social impact and brand legitimacy.

4. OBJECTIVES OF THE PAPER :

- (1) To explore the evolving strategies adopted by global corporations in aligning business growth with consumer trust and market relevance.
- (2) To critically evaluate the role of technological advancements and data analytics in shaping competitive advantage.
- (3) To analyze the ways in which businesses incorporate sustainability and ethical practices into their models for creating value.
- (4) To assess how stakeholder collaboration contributes to driving innovation and ensuring long-term organizational resilience.
- (5) To assess the effectiveness of consumer-driven models in influencing corporate decision-making and product development.
- (6) To analyze the challenges and opportunities multinational corporations face in balancing profitability with social impact.
- (7) To provide actionable insights that can guide future corporate strategies toward sustainable growth and global competitiveness.

5. METHODOLOGY :

5.1 Exploratory Case Study Method:

The exploratory case study method is widely applied in management and business research to generate rich, contextualized insights into complex organizational practices. According to Hollweck (2015) [80], the exploratory case study approach is well-suited for addressing complex “how” and “why” questions, particularly in the context of real-world and evolving business settings like multinational corporations. Eisenhardt (1989) [81] emphasizes that case studies facilitate theory building by combining qualitative and quantitative data, offering a deeper understanding of strategic decision-making. Similarly, Dubois & Gadde (2002) [82] argue that the method enhances flexibility by allowing continuous iteration between empirical evidence and conceptual frameworks, which strengthens analytical rigor. Baxter & Jack (2008) [83] emphasize that exploratory case studies are particularly valuable when theoretical frameworks are scarce, as they allow researchers to generate fresh insights and advance innovative lines of inquiry. Furthermore, Ridder (2017) [84] underscores that case studies can capture multiple stakeholder perspectives, which is essential when analyzing companies like Procter & Gamble that operate across diverse markets and socio-cultural contexts.

5.2 Data Sources:

The study draws upon multiple secondary data sources to ensure a comprehensive analysis of Procter & Gamble’s (P&G) strategies and practices. Company-generated documents, such as annual reports, sustainability statements, 10-K filings, and investor briefings, provide a fundamental basis for evaluating P&G’s financial performance and governance practices (Abdullatheef & Nobanee, (2024) [85]). Complementing these, industry intelligence sources such as Euromonitor and Nielsen contribute valuable benchmarks and competitor comparisons that frame P&G’s strategic market position (Ng et al. (2022). [86]). Moreover, media coverage and official press releases document ongoing developments—such as product launches, CSR initiatives, and controversies—thereby offering valuable insights into changing public perceptions (Weber & Dunham (2024). [87]). To strengthen academic rigor, peer-reviewed studies on P&G’s brand management, innovation strategies, and organizational practices are incorporated (Premi (2013). [88]). Technical documents such as patents, R&D disclosures, and whitepapers provide valuable evidence of P&G’s commitment to innovation and sustainable packaging solutions (Masur & Ouellette 2025). [89]. Finally, consumer-focused information drawn from surveys, case studies, and secondary datasets is leveraged to capture customer perceptions and preferences toward P&G’s wide-ranging product portfolio (Nilkant et al. (2025). [90]).

5.3 Analytical Frameworks:

To comprehensively evaluate Procter & Gamble’s strategic operations and performance, multiple analytical frameworks are employed. SWOT analysis is used to identify the company’s internal

strengths and weaknesses, as well as external opportunities and threats, providing a strategic overview of its global operations (Panagiotou 2003). [91]. The PESTEL framework offers a structured approach to analyze macro-environmental dimensions—political, economic, social, technological, environmental, and legal—that directly influence P&G’s strategic decisions and market positioning (Yüksel 2012). [92]. Porter’s Five Forces helps assess the competitive intensity in the FMCG industry, highlighting the bargaining power of suppliers and buyers, the threat of new entrants, substitutes, and industry rivalry (Porter (2008). [93]).

Stakeholder theory examines the company’s relationships with consumers, governments, NGOs, and supply chain partners, emphasizing the importance of stakeholder engagement in strategic management (Freeman 2010). [94]. The Triple Bottom Line (TBL) framework evaluates sustainability performance across economic, social, and environmental dimensions, aligning with P&G’s commitment to pursuing responsible and inclusive growth (Elkington & Rowlands (1999). [95]). Lastly, the Resource-Based View (RBV) framework analyzes how P&G leverages its intangible resources—such as brand equity, R&D capabilities, and consumer insights—to sustain competitive advantage in a dynamic global marketplace (Barney (1991). [96]). Together, these frameworks provide a holistic lens to understand P&G’s strategy, operational effectiveness, and long-term value creation.

5.4 Data Analysis:

The data analysis in this study adopts a multi-method approach, combining qualitative and quantitative techniques to generate comprehensive insights into P&G’s strategic practices. The qualitative analysis primarily employs thematic coding to examine sustainability practices, stakeholder engagement, and brand narratives, which enables the identification of recurring patterns, values, and organizational priorities (Braun & Clarke (2006). [97]). Thematic analysis is widely acknowledged as a valuable approach for interpreting qualitative data in areas such as corporate strategy and CSR research, as it enables deeper insights into how organizations embed sustainability and ethical values within their brand narratives (Nowell et al. (2017). [98]).

On the quantitative side, the analysis incorporates financial ratios, revenue growth trends, and market share statistics, which are crucial for measuring organizational performance outcomes (Chandler et al. (2013). [99]). Quantitative evaluation provides objectivity and allows for the assessment of long-term financial sustainability. Furthermore, comparative benchmarking is applied to position P&G against industry rivals such as Unilever, Colgate-Palmolive, and Johnson & Johnson. Benchmarking as an analytical tool enables organizations to evaluate strategic capabilities relative to competitors and is particularly effective in highly competitive FMCG markets (Camp (2024). [100]; Anand & Kodali (2008). [101]). By blending thematic interpretation with financial comparisons, the study captures both qualitative richness and quantitative rigor in assessing P&G’s global approach.

6. COMPANY PROFILE :

6.1 History and Founding:

Founded in 1837 in Cincinnati, Ohio, by candlemaker William Procter and soapmaker James Gamble, the company began as a modest family business. The company’s expansion gained momentum when it obtained vital supply contracts—most notably providing soap and candles to the Union Army during the Civil War—an arrangement that boosted its national profile and created a strong foundation for subsequent global growth (Low & Fullerton (1994). [102]).

During the early twentieth century, P&G gained distinction through pioneering marketing innovations, most notably the introduction of the brand management system in 1931. Formalized in the McElroy memo, this model transformed consumer goods marketing by treating each brand as an independent unit with its own accountability. The approach strengthened organizational clarity and became the foundation for sustained leadership, exemplified by landmark product launches such as Tide laundry detergent in 1946 and Pampers disposable diapers in 1961 (Maclean et al. (2014). [103]).

In the latter half of the twentieth century, P&G strengthened its advantage by blending large-scale R&D with external collaborations to boost innovation. Its landmark “Connect + Develop” program redefined innovation by embracing partnerships with startups, universities, and suppliers, thereby accelerating product development and technological adoption (Huston & Sakkab (2006). [104]; Dodgson et al. (2006). [105]). These efforts signaled a broader organizational shift toward open innovation that combined internal capabilities with external expertise.

Beyond product innovation, researchers emphasize P&G’s significant role in shaping modern marketing practices and influencing mass media landscapes. The company’s investments in broadcast sponsorships not only helped shape American mass media culture but also reflected its adaptive internal narratives during periods of strategic transformation. This intertwining of corporate history, marketing scale, and organizational culture highlights P&G’s role as both a business pioneer and a cultural institution (McGuigan (2015). [106]; Aimé et al. (2018). [107]).

6.2 Vision and Mission:

P&G aims to be the world’s leading consumer goods company, built on trust, innovation, and excellence. Its mission is to deliver branded products and services of superior quality and value that enhance the daily lives of consumers worldwide—both in the present and for generations to come. These guiding statements embody a balance between addressing consumer needs and advancing long-term sustainability. Scholars emphasize that clearly articulated vision and mission statements play a crucial role in offering strategic direction, shaping organizational culture, and fostering stakeholder trust (Bartkus & Glassman (2008). [108]). For P&G, these guiding principles not only support its global expansion strategies but also reinforce its commitment to continuous innovation and societal responsibility (Baetz 1998). [109]. The company’s mission reinforces the role of consumer-centricity as a competitive advantage, while its vision emphasizes adaptability and resilience in rapidly changing markets (John (2002). [110]). Furthermore, research suggests that clear articulation of vision and mission statements enhances organizational legitimacy, stakeholder engagement, and long-term competitiveness—an alignment evident in P&G’s consistent brand leadership and sustainability initiatives (Analoui & Karami (2002). [111]).

6.3 Key Brands:

Procter & Gamble (P&G) has built a diverse portfolio of globally recognized brands that span across beauty, health, fabric care, and family essentials. Each brand is strategically positioned to meet consumer needs while reinforcing P&G’s reputation for quality, innovation, and trust.

Table 2: Leading P&G Brands across Product Categories

S. No.	Segment	Flagship Brands	Strategic Positioning	Reference
1.	Beauty & Grooming	Pantene, Olay, Head & Shoulders, Gillette	Positioned as premium personal care and grooming solutions, leveraging science-backed innovation and global appeal.	Kumar & Steenkamp (2013). [112]
2.	Health Care	Oral-B, Vicks, Metamucil	Trusted health and wellness brands with strong medical credibility, improving preventive care and everyday well-being.	Boadu (2017). [113]
3.	Fabric & Home Care	Tide, Ariel, Downy, Gain, Febreze	A market leader in detergents and home care, recognized for innovation, freshness, and superior cleaning.	Anderson et al. (1994). [114]
4.	Baby, Feminine & Family Care	Pampers, Always, Whisper, Bounty, Charmin	Reliable brands meeting essential daily needs, emphasizing comfort, hygiene, and adaptability across cultures.	Boumphrey (2020). [115]
5.	Portfolio Strategy (Cross-Segment)	Diverse global brand mix	Strength stems from a balanced portfolio, ensuring resilience, relevance, and leadership in FMCG.	Kapferer (2012). [116]

Overall, P&G's brand portfolio demonstrates the company's strength in balancing category leadership with consumer relevance. By managing a mix of premium, mass-market, and essential brands, P&G sustains its global competitiveness and continues to shape consumer lifestyles worldwide.

6.4 Business Segments:

Procter & Gamble operates through five core business segments, each tailored to consumer needs and strategically positioned across diverse product categories.

- The Beauty segment includes hair care, skin care, and personal grooming brands, where P&G consistently leverages innovation and premium positioning to sustain growth (Till et al. (2011). [117].
- In Grooming, iconic brands such as Gillette and Venus highlight P&G's leadership in shaving and personal care, rooted in technological advancement and strong brand legacy (Priporas & Kamenidou (2011). [118].
- In Health Care, well-known brands such as Oral-B and Vicks highlight P&G's focus on wellness innovation and dependable health solutions (Boadu (2017). [119].
- P&G's Fabric & Home Care segment—home to Tide, Ariel, Downy, Gain, and Febreze—showcases its leadership by delivering high performance, promoting sustainability, and driving continuous innovation (Anderson et al. (1994). [120].
- Finally, Baby, Feminine & Family Care includes essential everyday products like Pampers, Always, Bounty, and Charmin, positioning P&G as a household staple across global markets.

Together, these complementary segments allow P&G to combine diversification with targeted innovation, strengthening its resilience and consumer relevance in the competitive FMCG landscape.

7. BUSINESS MODEL OF P&G :

Procter & Gamble's business model is built on leveraging its iconic brands, innovative capabilities, and global scale to generate sustainable revenue and maintain market leadership across diverse FMCG segments.

(1) Global Brand Power:

P&G's portfolio of iconic brands—including Tide, Gillette, Pampers, Ariel, Downy, and Head & Shoulders—drives robust sales across multiple FMCG categories. These brands are renowned globally for their consistent quality and strong consumer trust. Beyond revenue, they create emotional connections with consumers, enabling premium pricing, fostering long-term loyalty, and serving as strategic assets that support market expansion, particularly in emerging regions.

(2) Premium & Value Innovation:

By delivering high-performance and sustainable products, P&G successfully balances premium offerings with value propositions. From eco-friendly packaging to advanced formulations, the company addresses emerging consumer trends such as sustainability, wellness, and convenience. This approach not only strengthens brand equity but also allows P&G to capture higher margins and differentiate itself from competitors in a crowded FMCG market.

(3) Geographic Diversification:

P&G operates in more than 180 countries, balancing revenue between established markets such as North America and Europe and high-growth regions including Asia, Latin America, and Africa. This global presence reduces risks from economic fluctuations in any one region and allows the company to tailor products and marketing strategies to diverse consumer behaviours, regulatory environments, and cultural preferences.

(4) Innovation-Driven Growth:

Continuous investment in research and development ensures P&G remains a leader in technological advancement and product innovation. By introducing market-first solutions, enhancing existing products, and exploring new categories, the company drives incremental revenue streams. This commitment to innovation strengthens competitive advantage, accelerates adoption of premium products, and reinforces P&G's reputation as an industry pioneer.

By combining brand power, innovation, geographic reach, and premium value, P&G transforms consumer trust into long-term revenue growth and enduring competitive advantage.

Table 3: Comparison with Major Competitors:

Aspect	Procter & Gamble (P&G)	Unilever	Colgate-Palmolive	Reckitt Benckiser
Revenue (FY 2024)	~\$82B	~\$64B	~\$17B	~\$17B
Global Presence	180+ countries	190+ countries	200+ countries	190+ countries
Brand Portfolio	Diversified across FMCG categories; iconic global brands	Strong, focused on personal care, food, and home care	Strong in oral care & personal care	Focused on hygiene, health, and home care
Innovation Focus	Continuous product & packaging innovation	Sustainability & personal care innovation	Moderate product innovation	Targeted innovation in hygiene & wellness
Revenue Dependence	Balanced across segments	Food + personal care	Oral care heavy	Hygiene & health heavy
Competitive Edge	Global scale, brand equity, premium pricing, continuous innovation	Strong sustainability focus	Specialized in oral care	Niche in hygiene & wellness products

The analysis underscores P&G’s competitive edge—its diversified global portfolio, continuous innovation, and strong brand equity—which collectively reinforce its leadership across FMCG categories.

Insights & Strategic Differences:

- **Diversified Portfolio:** P&G spreads revenue across multiple FMCG categories, unlike rivals with narrower focuses.
- **Strong Brand Equity:** Iconic brands such as Tide, Pampers, and Gillette drive premium pricing and loyalty.
- **Innovation Leadership:** Heavy R&D investment enables consistent breakthroughs across categories.
- **Geographic Balance:** Revenue is well distributed between mature and emerging markets, reducing risks.
- **Holistic Competitive Strategy:** P&G leverages scale, innovation, and consumer trust, while competitors rely on limited strengths.

P&G’s blend of scale, brand power, and innovation secures its long-term leadership in the global FMCG market.

8. FUNCTIONAL ANALYSES :

8.1. SWOC Analysis:

SWOC analysis is widely recognized as a foundational tool in strategic planning because of its adaptability across industries and organizational settings. SWOC analysis enables organizations to assess both internal strengths and weaknesses as well as external opportunities and challenges, thereby enhancing structured decision-making and competitive positioning (Ghaleb (2024). [121]; Aithal & Kumar (2015). [122]) note that while the framework offers ease of use and clear structure, it frequently generates broad lists without actionable priorities unless it is integrated with more sophisticated methods. To overcome this gap, Weihrich (1982) [123] introduced the TOWS matrix, which facilitates

systematic alignment between internal capabilities and external conditions, though its practical success relies heavily on the expertise of users.

To overcome these limitations, hybrid approaches like SWOT–AHP (Analytic Hierarchy Process) have been developed, adding quantitative rigor to the framework. Wang et al. (2014) [124] applied such a model to China’s express mail services, showing its value in prioritizing strategies systematically. Similarly, Wickramasinghe & Takano (2009) [125] used SWOT–AHP in tourism planning, demonstrating how combining qualitative and quantitative methods enhances decision-making. These advancements illustrate how SWOC has evolved from a simple framework into a more robust, data-driven tool that enhances organizational strategy and long-term competitiveness (Aithal & Aithal (2023). [126]).

Strengths of Procter & Gamble (P&G):

The following table 4 lists some of the strengths of Procter & Gamble (P&G):

Table 4: Strengths of Procter & Gamble (P&G)

S. No.	Key Strengths	Description
1.	Strong Brand Equity	Globally recognized brands that build trust and loyalty.
2.	Diversified Portfolio	Wide product categories help reduce dependence on any single segment.
3.	Global Market Presence	Operations in 180+ countries provide balanced revenue streams.
4.	Innovation Capability	Continuous R&D ensures new product launches and market leadership.
5.	Financial Stability	Strong revenues and profits enable long-term investments.
6.	Supply Chain Excellence	Robust logistics and distribution systems enable P&G to maintain an extensive global presence.
7.	Sustainability Initiatives	Green practices and eco-friendly packaging strengthen reputation.
8.	Premium Pricing Power	Strong brand image allows higher margins compared to competitors.
9.	Customer-Centric Approach	Marketing strategies tailored to varied consumer needs worldwide.
10.	Skilled Workforce	Experienced employees contribute to innovation and operational success.

Weaknesses of Procter & Gamble (P&G):

The following table 5 lists some of the Weaknesses of Procter & Gamble (P&G):

Table 5: Weaknesses of Procter & Gamble (P&G)

S. No.	Key Weaknesses	Description
1.	High Dependency on Developed Markets	A significant proportion of revenue still originates from North America and Europe, limiting balance across emerging markets.
2.	Premium Pricing Pressure	Adopting premium pricing can reduce competitiveness in markets that are highly price-sensitive.
3.	Complex Supply Chain	Managing logistics and inventories across vast global operations adds inefficiencies and risks.
4.	Intense Competition	Intense competition from Unilever, Colgate-Palmolive, and Reckitt poses challenges to market leadership.
5.	Product Recall Risks	A diverse product portfolio can heighten the risk of recalls, potentially undermining consumer trust.
6.	Slow Decision-Making	Large organizational structure can limit agility and responsiveness compared to smaller firms.

7.	Limited Presence in Some Segments	Weak market share in niche categories like natural and organic personal care products.
8.	Advertising & Marketing Costs	Considerable investment is required to preserve consumer loyalty, strengthen brand equity, and ensure global visibility.
9.	Exposure to Regulatory Issues	Operating in 180+ countries subjects P&G to diverse and changing compliance environments.
10.	Dependence on Retail Giants	Relying on key retailers like Walmart and Amazon enhances the bargaining power of distributors.

Opportunities of Procter & Gamble (P&G):

The following table 6 lists some of the Opportunities of Procter & Gamble (P&G):

Table 6: Opportunities of Procter & Gamble (P&G)

S. No.	Key Opportunities	Description
1.	Expansion in Emerging Markets	Rising middle-class incomes in Asia, Africa, and Latin America create new growth potential.
2.	Sustainability & Eco-Friendly Demand	Increasing consumer demand for eco-friendly and recyclable products bolsters P&G’s sustainability efforts.
3.	Digital Transformation	Adopting e-commerce, AI, and data analytics can improve supply chain efficiency and boost consumer engagement.
4.	Health & Wellness Trend	Global emphasis on hygiene, nutrition, and wellness drives growth in P&G’s health-focused FMCG products.
5.	Product Diversification	Opportunities to expand into adjacent categories like natural personal care and organic baby products.
6.	Strategic Partnerships & Acquisitions	Collaborations and acquisitions can facilitate faster entry into niche markets while enhancing P&G’s overall market presence.
7.	Rural Market Penetration	Untapped rural regions in developing countries provide room for new customer acquisition.
8.	Innovation in Packaging	Smart, sustainable, and convenient packaging solutions can drive differentiation and consumer appeal.
9.	Technology-Enabled Marketing	Advanced digital campaigns, influencer collaborations, and personalization can enhance customer loyalty.
10.	Global Population Growth	Rising population and urbanization worldwide increase long-term demand for household and personal care products.

Challenges of Procter & Gamble (P&G):

The following table 7 lists some of the Challenges of Procter & Gamble (P&G):

Table 7: Challenges of Procter & Gamble (P&G)

S. No.	Key Challenges / Threats	Description
1.	Intense Industry Competition	Strong rivalry from Unilever, Colgate-Palmolive, Reckitt, and emerging local brands.
2.	Regulatory Compliance Risks	Operating under diverse regulations in over 180 countries adds complexity to operations
3.	Price-Sensitive Markets	Economic downturns and low-income regions may resist premium pricing.
4.	Raw Material Price Volatility	Fluctuating costs of chemicals, packaging, and commodities impact profitability.
5.	Rapid Technological Changes	Need to continuously adopt new tech to remain competitive in production and marketing.

6.	Changing Consumer Preferences	Increasing consumer preference for natural, organic, or niche products may decrease demand for traditional offerings.
7.	Supply Chain Disruptions	Natural disasters, pandemics, or geopolitical issues can disrupt global logistics.
8.	Counterfeit Products	Fake or counterfeit products in key markets can undermine brand reputation and reduce sales.
9.	Environmental Regulations	Stricter environmental laws may increase production costs and require compliance investments.
10.	Currency Fluctuation Risks	Operating in multiple countries exposes revenue to exchange rate volatility.

8.2. ABCD Analysis:

ABCD Analysis (Advantages, Benefits, Constraints, and Disadvantages) is a qualitative strategic framework commonly used in business, education, and technology to evaluate the feasibility, efficiency, and impact of systems, innovations, and policy decisions (Ngongo (2024). [127]; Aithal et al. (2015). [128]. Unlike purely numerical tools like cost-benefit analysis, ABCD facilitates a holistic view by categorizing internal and external factors into four quadrants: ‘Advantages’ refer to inherent positive attributes; ‘Benefits’ emphasize external and user-level gains; ‘Constraints’ denote existing operational or environmental limitations; and ‘Disadvantages’ identify potential risks or negative outcomes. Researchers have effectively used ABCD frameworks in evaluating teaching-learning methodologies Aithal et al. (2016) [129], technological interventions in higher education (Aithal (2016) [130]), and the digitization of institutions (Aithal & Aithal (2023). [131]). Its adaptability makes it particularly effective for exploratory research and institutional policy evaluation.

The strength of ABCD lies in its structured and flexible matrix, which streamlines complex decision-making and enhances both strategic planning and stakeholder communication. For instance, when assessing digital transformation initiatives, ABCD helps in isolating systemic advantages (e.g., scalability), aligning them with stakeholder benefits (e.g., ease of access), while also flagging infrastructural constraints (e.g., bandwidth limitations) and potential downsides (e.g., data security risks) (Aithal et al. 2016). [132]. Further, the ABCD method is found useful in sustainability studies and management decision-making by providing a bridge between qualitative insights and actionable strategy (Prabhu & Aithal (2023). [133]). However, researchers caution that the subjective nature of ABCD interpretations requires validation through empirical methods to achieve robust and reliable conclusions. The framework’s use has expanded across sectors such as startups, fintech, and online education, highlighting its increasing significance in decision-making and innovation analysis.

8.2.1 Advantages of P&G: Creating Impact for Shareholders, including Employees, Customers, and the Community:

Table 8: Advantages of P&G Company (Stakeholder Perspective):

S. No.	Advantages	Description
1.	Strong financial performance and consistent long-term returns	P&G has a robust financial track record, generating steady revenue and profits, which ensures attractive returns for shareholders and long-term investment stability.
2.	Career development, skill enhancement, and global exposure for employees	The company provides employees with comprehensive training, leadership programs, and opportunities to work on global projects, fostering professional growth and career advancement.
3.	High-quality, innovative products tailored to consumer needs	P&G continuously innovates its product portfolio based on consumer insights, ensuring products are effective, relevant, and meet the evolving needs of customers worldwide.

4.	Stable partnerships with suppliers through timely payments and collaboration	P&G maintains strong, long-term relationships with suppliers, supporting ethical sourcing, timely payments, and collaboration on innovation initiatives.
5.	Social responsibility initiatives promoting community well-being	The company actively engages in social and community programs, promoting education, health, hygiene, and disaster relief, positively impacting the societies it operates in.
6.	Adherence to laws, ethical practices, and regulatory compliance	P&G follows strict international regulations and ethical standards, ensuring legal compliance and maintaining corporate governance across all markets.
7.	Efficient supply chain and reliable global distribution for business partners	Its advanced logistics, marketing, and distribution network ensure products are consistently available, supporting business partners and maintaining market leadership.
8.	Commitment to sustainability and eco-friendly operations	P&G implements initiatives to reduce its carbon footprint, conserve water and energy, and adopt sustainable packaging, reflecting its dedication to environmental responsibility.
9.	Setting high standards for innovation and operational excellence in the industry	The company’s strategic innovation and operational efficiency set benchmarks for competitors, driving continuous improvements and industry-wide progress.
10.	Collaboration with academia and research institutions for R&D and knowledge-sharing	P&G partners with universities and research institutions to advance scientific research and product development, fostering knowledge sharing and technological growth.

8.2.2 Benefits of P&G: Delivering Value, Growth, and Positive Impact Across Shareholders, including Employees, Customers, and the Community:

Table 9: Benefits of P&G Company (Stakeholder Perspective):

S. No.	Benefits	Description
1.	Stable returns and long-term wealth creation	P&G’s strong financial performance and strategic management provide consistent profits and dividends for investors.
2.	Career growth and skill enhancement	Employees gain professional development through training, leadership programs, and exposure to global projects.
3.	Customer satisfaction and loyalty	High-quality and innovative products meet consumer needs, ensuring trust and repeat business.
4.	Business stability and growth opportunities for suppliers	Ethical sourcing and timely payments help suppliers maintain steady operations and expand.
5.	Community support and social development	Education, health, hygiene, and social initiatives improve the well-being of communities.
6.	Compliance and regulatory trust	Following legal and ethical standards ensures smooth operations and confidence from governments and regulators.
7.	Reliable supply chain and market support	Efficient logistics and global distribution help business partners and distributors operate effectively.
8.	Reduced environmental impact	Sustainability initiatives such as eco-friendly packaging and resource conservation benefit the environment.
9.	Industry benchmarking and improvement	P&G’s innovation and operational excellence push competitors to raise their standards.
10.	Research and knowledge-sharing opportunities	Collaboration with academia promotes innovation, scientific research, and technological development.

8.2.3 Constraints of P&G:

Table 10: Constraints of P&G Company (Stakeholder Perspective):

S. No.	Constraints	Description
1.	High operational costs	Managing global operations, manufacturing, and distribution leads to significant expenses, affecting profitability.
2.	Dependence on key markets	Heavy reliance on markets like North America and Europe exposes P&G to regional economic fluctuations.
3.	Intense competition	Competing with global and local brands puts pressure on pricing, innovation, and market share.
4.	Regulatory compliance challenges	Operating in multiple countries requires strict adherence to varying legal and environmental regulations.
5.	Supply chain vulnerabilities	Disruptions due to natural disasters, pandemics, or geopolitical tensions can affect production and delivery.
6.	Brand reputation risks	Any product quality issues or ethical controversies can impact consumer trust and brand image.
7.	Innovation pressure	Constant need for R&D and product innovation to meet consumer expectations can be resource-intensive.
8.	Environmental constraints	Sustainability initiatives may increase costs and require adaptation to stricter environmental standards.
9.	Currency and economic fluctuations	Global operations expose P&G to foreign exchange risks and economic instability in different regions.
10.	Talent retention challenges	Attracting and retaining skilled employees worldwide can be difficult amid competitive job markets.

8.2.4 Disadvantages of P&G:

Table 11: Disadvantages of P&G Company (Stakeholder Perspective):

S. No.	Disadvantage	Description
1.	High operational costs	P&G’s global operations involve large-scale manufacturing, extensive marketing campaigns, and complex logistics. These high expenses can reduce profit margins, especially in competitive markets where pricing pressure exists.
2.	Overdependence on mature markets	A significant portion of P&G’s revenue comes from developed markets like North America and Europe. Economic slowdowns, changing consumer preferences, or market saturation in these regions can adversely affect overall sales.
3.	Intense competition	P&G faces strong competition from both global brands and local companies. This requires continuous innovation, aggressive marketing, and competitive pricing strategies to maintain market share, increasing operational pressure.
4.	Regulatory and legal challenges	Operating in multiple countries exposes P&G to diverse legal and regulatory frameworks, including environmental, labour, and product safety regulations. Ensuring compliance can be costly and time-consuming.
5.	Supply chain risks	Disruptions due to natural disasters, geopolitical tensions, pandemics, or transportation issues can delay production and product delivery, affecting sales and stakeholder confidence.
6.	Brand reputation risk	Any product quality issues, recalls, or ethical controversies can damage consumer trust and the brand image, which may take years to restore.

7.	High innovation demands	To remain competitive, P&G must continuously invest in research and development. This pressure can strain resources and requires careful management to ensure ROI on innovation.
8.	Environmental impact concerns	Despite sustainability initiatives, global operations still consume significant resources and generate waste. Meeting stricter environmental regulations and consumer expectations can increase costs.
9.	Currency and economic volatility	As a multinational, P&G is exposed to foreign exchange fluctuations, inflation, and economic instability in various markets, which can impact profitability.
10.	Talent retention difficulties	Attracting and retaining skilled employees globally is challenging due to competition from other companies and changing workforce expectations, potentially affecting innovation and efficiency.

8.3. Financial Analysis:

8.3.1 About Financial Analysis:

Financial analysis is a vital process for evaluating a company’s financial health and supporting strategic and investment decisions. It provides insights into liquidity, solvency, profitability, and operational efficiency, while also helping to detect early signals of financial distress. Beaver (1966) [134] and Altman (1968) [135] demonstrated how financial ratios could reliably predict corporate failure, while Ohlson (1995) [136] showed that accounting measures such as earnings, book values, and dividends are integral to equity valuation. More recently, Biehl et al. (2024) [137] highlighted that the quality of financial reporting not only influences investor perceptions but also drives real corporate outcomes, including investment and financing behaviour. Collectively, these findings confirm the continuing importance of financial analysis as both an evaluative tool and a determinant of business performance.

8.3.2 Funding patterns:

(i) Funding sources & uses: Key components:

Here are the main sources of funds and the main uses, as per P&G’s disclosures, which help show the funding pattern:

Table 12: Key Sources of Funds of Procter & Gamble (P&G)

Source of funds	Description / Notes
Operating cash flow	This has been P&G’s primary source of funding — large, steady cash generation from its ongoing business operations. For example, in fiscal 2024 operating cash flow was \$19.8B, up from \$16.8B in 2023.
Net debt issuance/debt markets	P&G uses short-term and long-term debt markets occasionally to supplement funds, especially for discretionary activities (share buybacks, dividends, acquisitions) when operating cash flow isn’t enough. In 2023, it generated about \$2.9B from net debt issuances in short- and long-term debt markets. In other years, P&G has sometimes reduced net debt (i.e., net debt reduction rather than issuance) depending on financing needs.
Equity-based sources	This includes proceeds from stock option exercises (“stock options and other”), which is a smaller component. For example, in 2023, P&G generated ~\$1.3B from stock options and similar activities. There is no major recent issuance of common stock for capital raising, so equity contributions remain limited.
Credit facilities / short-term borrowings / commercial paper/bank credit agreements	P&G keeps committed credit facilities and uses commercial paper programmes as part of liquidity management. These are not always drawn, but they act as backup sources.

(ii) Uses of funds & what funding is spent on:

Table 13: P&G’s funding is allocated roughly in these buckets

Use of funds	What it is used for / Observations
Capital expenditures and acquisitions (investing activities)	P&G invests in capacity expansion, innovation, and cost efficiencies. In fiscal 2023, ~\$3.1B in capex and ~\$765M in acquisitions. In 2024, net investing activities also used ~\$3.5B.
Dividends to shareholders	P&G has a long history of dividend payouts, and this is one of the first discretionary uses of excess cash. Dividends have been steadily increasing. E.g., in 2023, total dividend payments ~\$9.0B. In fiscal 2025, ~\$9.9B in dividends.
Share repurchases (treasury stock purchases)	Another major use: P&G uses repurchases of its common stock as a way to return capital to shareholders. For example, ~\$7.4B in share repurchases in 2023. In 2022, ~\$10.0B.
Debt repayments / net debt changes	Some years, P&G reduces net debt; in others, it issues more. Debt levels are managed based on expected cash flows and cost of capital. For example, in 2024, net financing activities reflect a net debt decrease (among other uses).
Other uses	Includes funds for stock options/benefits, working capital effects, corporate overhead, restructuring, or cost programs (which may require some cash). Also, acquisition of short-lived assets, maintenance capex, etc.

(iii) Trend analysis over the last ~5 years:

From the sources, a few clear trends emerge in P&G’s funding pattern over the past ~5 fiscal years (≈ 2020-2025):

- **Stable / increasing operating cash flow:** P&G has consistently had strong operating cash flow, which is the foundational source. In 2024, it rose to ~\$19.8B, showing growth vs prior years.
- **High levels of returning capital to shareholders:** P&G consistently returns a large part of its cash via dividends (increasing each year) plus share repurchases. This has remained a key use of funds. For example, in 2025, P&G returned over \$16B via dividends + buybacks.
- **Moderate and selective acquisitions/investing:** P&G’s acquisitions are typically not huge blockbuster acquisitions every year; many are smaller / moderate. Capital expenditures are consistent and moderate, supporting innovation, capacity, etc. The proportion of investment spend vs operating cash stays at relatively modest but regular levels.
- **Flexible use of debt:** P&G does raise debt; sometimes net debt issuance, other times net debt reduction; but generally maintains debt at levels they consider appropriate given cash flows and the prevailing cost of capital. It also keeps strong credit ratings so that debt markets remain available.
- **Conservative backup liquidity and risk management:** They maintain credit facilities, commercial paper, etc., to ensure liquidity. Also, tax / foreign cash repatriation, working capital, etc., are managed carefully.

(iv) Metrics / Ratios & implications:

Some ratios or metrics give additional insight into how “funded” P&G is from various sources:

- **Debt levels:** Total debt has been in the ~\$30-35B range in recent years (e.g., ~\$34.6B in 2023) and slightly less or similar in others.
- **Free cash flow productivity:** P&G reports an adjusted free cash flow productivity metric (operating cash flow minus capital spending etc. relative to net earnings or some baseline) in the high 80-90% range in recent years (e.g., ~87% in FY2025 expected; ~95-93% in 2023 & 2022) — showing that most of its earnings are translating into cash after required reinvestment.

Table 14: Revenue vs. Cost Structure Summary of P&G

Category	2021 (USD Billion)	2023 (USD Billion)
Revenue	76.1	82.0
Admin & Staff Expenses	~12.0 (approx.)	~13.2 (approx.)

Operating Profit	18.0	17.8
Intercompany Funding	Moderate (Debt-funded share repurchases & dividend payouts)	Lower reliance (more equity-funded, improved cash flow management)

Interpretation:

- **Revenue Growth:** Increased from \$76.1B (2021) to \$82.0B (2023) due to strong global demand and pricing power.
- **Admin & Staff Expenses:** Rose moderately to ~\$13.2B in 2023, reflecting inflation and operational expansion, but remained proportional to revenue.
- **Operating Profit:** Slightly decreased to \$17.8B in 2023 due to higher costs, though margins remained healthy.
- **Intercompany Funding:** Reliance on debt reduced, with stronger cash flows funding operations and shareholder returns, showing financial stability and efficiency.

Insights & Outlook:

- **Strong Funding and Financial Stability:** P&G primarily relies on steadily increasing operating cash flow (~\$19.8B in 2024) with flexible, moderate debt usage (~\$30–35B), maintaining high free cash flow productivity (87–95%) and strong liquidity for risk management.
- **Revenue Growth and Cost Management:** Revenue grew from \$76.1B (2021) to \$82.0B (2023) due to global demand and pricing power; admin & staff expenses rose moderately, and operating profit slightly declined, but margins remain healthy, reflecting disciplined cost and efficiency management.
- **Shareholder Returns and Sustainable Outlook:** A significant portion of cash is returned to shareholders via dividends and share repurchases (~\$16B in 2025). With steady capex, selective acquisitions, and resilient operations, P&G is well-positioned for sustainable growth and continued financial strength.

Thus, P&G demonstrates a **strong, stable funding structure**, relying primarily on robust operating cash flows and prudent debt management, while maintaining high liquidity and free cash flow efficiency. Revenue growth has been steady, with disciplined cost and efficiency management keeping margins healthy despite rising expenses. Simultaneously, the company prioritizes **shareholder returns** through dividends and buybacks, while moderate investments and selective acquisitions ensure sustainable growth and long-term financial resilience.

8.4. Technological Strategy Analysis:

(i) Overview of Technological Strategy:

Procter & Gamble (P&G) has been actively pursuing technological innovation to strengthen its operations, enhance product development, and improve consumer engagement. The company’s strategy focuses on digital transformation, automation, and advanced analytics, aiming to achieve efficiency, agility, and sustained competitiveness in the global consumer goods industry. By embedding technology into its core business processes, P&G seeks to accelerate innovation, optimize its supply chain, and foster a more data-driven organizational culture.

(ii) Digital Transformation and AI Integration:

P&G has incorporated artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning into its research, product development, and marketing processes. The company leverages AI-driven insights to accelerate product innovation cycles, improve quality, and anticipate consumer needs. These initiatives are complemented by the establishment of “AI factories” designed to scale technological applications across various business units, enhancing decision-making efficiency.

(iii) Supply Chain Modernization:

P&G has invested in a digitalized, responsive supply chain framework. The integration of automation, predictive analytics, and real-time monitoring enables the company to optimize inventory management, reduce operational costs, and improve delivery performance. This approach strengthens supply chain resilience against disruptions and helps maintain consistent product availability worldwide.

(iv) Consumer Engagement via Digital Platforms:

The company has expanded its presence on digital and social media platforms, using influencer marketing and live-stream shopping channels to interact with consumers directly. This strategy is particularly visible in markets like China, where platforms such as Douyin (TikTok) have become essential for driving sales growth and brand awareness.

(v) Workforce and Organizational Adaptation:

As part of its technological transition, P&G is restructuring certain workforce segments to align with digital operations. This includes workforce reduction in non-manufacturing roles and redeployment to tech-focused functions, reflecting a strategic shift toward automation, AI, and digitally enabled workflows.

(vi) Strategic Impacts:

- **Operational Efficiency:** Automation and AI reduce process inefficiencies, lower costs, and streamline routine operations.
- **Market Responsiveness:** Data-driven insights enable P&G to respond swiftly to changing consumer preferences and market conditions.
- **Innovation Leadership:** Advanced technologies support faster product development and continuous innovation.
- **Sustainability and Growth:** Digital tools and technology investments align with long-term strategic goals, promoting sustainable business growth.

(vii) Future Outlook:

P&G's technological strategy positions the company to maintain its competitive advantage in the fast-moving consumer goods sector. Continued investment in AI, automation, and digital platforms is expected to:

- Enhance operational agility and supply chain resilience.
- Strengthen customer engagement through personalized, tech-enabled experiences.
- Support innovation pipelines with faster, data-driven product development.
- Maintain sustainable growth while optimizing capital and workforce allocation.

Overall, P&G's technology-driven approach reflects a proactive strategy that integrates digital tools, automation, and analytics to meet evolving market demands, optimize internal operations, and reinforce its global leadership in consumer goods.

8.5. Marketing Analysis:

(i) About Marketing Analysis:

Marketing analysis is a strategic compass that guides businesses through consumer behavior, market dynamics, and competitive pressures. It combines data-driven insights and human intuition to identify opportunities, anticipate challenges, and shape impactful strategies. Key elements include:

- **Segmentation and Persona Mapping:** Modern marketing analysis profiles consumers by lifestyle, values, and emotional triggers, enabling hyper-personalized campaigns.
- **Behavioral Analytics:** Using digital footprints, social media data, and purchase patterns to decode consumer decision-making.
- **Competitor Intelligence:** Monitoring rivals' innovations, pricing, and engagement strategies to uncover gaps and differentiators.
- **SWOT and Opportunity Scans:** Evaluating internal capabilities versus external market forces ensures strategic alignment.
- **Performance Metrics & Feedback Loops:** Success is measured in engagement, retention, and brand resonance, not just sales.

Marketing analysis transforms raw data into a story of market opportunities, helping brands like P&G innovate, connect, and grow sustainably (La Rocca et al. 2014). [138].

(ii) Analysis of Marketing Strategy of P&G Company:

P&G's marketing strategy combines science, storytelling, and sustainability to create value for both consumers and society:

- (1) **Dynamic Brand Architecture:** P&G manages a vast portfolio, balancing global consistency with local customization. Each brand carries a unique voice, e.g., Tide's performance promise or Gillette's precision narrative, connecting emotionally and functionally with consumers (Anjali & Menon 2025). [139].

- (2) **Innovation-Driven Engagement:** AI, consumer insights, and trend analytics enable P&G to launch products that address emerging needs. Campaigns like Tide Eco-Box integrate product innovation with sustainability storytelling, enhancing relevance and impact.
- (3) **Digital Storytelling and Social Influence:** P&G uses immersive digital campaigns, influencer collaborations, and social commerce to create interactive experiences. Platforms like TikTok in China allow rapid pivots and engagement with younger audiences ('Bidyarthi' et al. 2013). [140].
- (4) **Sustainability as Strategy:** Eco-friendly packaging, green messaging, and corporate social responsibility initiatives strengthen consumer perception and loyalty.
- (5) **Data-Driven Market Adaptation:** Continuous analysis of consumer behavior, competitor actions, and regional trends allows P&G to dynamically adapt campaigns, combining standardized branding with local relevance (Shaikh et al. 2022). [141].

P&G's marketing strategy is therefore an orchestra of technology, creativity, and insight, harmonizing innovation, sustainability, and engagement to sustain leadership in FMCG.

8.6. Human Resource Management:

(i) About Human Resources Management Analysis:

Human Resource Management (HRM) analysis is a structured process used to evaluate how effectively an organization utilizes its workforce to meet overall business goals. It focuses on major areas such as recruitment, workforce planning, employee training, performance assessment, compensation structures, and engagement practices. Effective workforce planning ensures that the organization has access to the right skills at the right time. Recruitment and retention initiatives, supported by strong employer branding and competitive pay, help attract high-quality talent and reduce turnover. Training and development opportunities promote continuous learning, enabling employees to adapt to changing business needs. A well-designed performance management system clarifies expectations, connects individual contributions to organizational strategy, and encourages improvement through regular feedback. Measuring engagement and satisfaction levels through surveys or feedback tools further helps in boosting morale, reducing attrition, and improving productivity. Overall, HRM analysis transforms workforce data into actionable insights, allowing organizations to strengthen motivation, performance, and long-term competitiveness.

(ii) Analysis of Human Resources Management Strategy of P&G:

Procter & Gamble (P&G) is widely regarded as a company that places strong emphasis on people-centric strategies, aligning its human resource practices directly with its long-term success. Several aspects define its HR approach:

- **Talent Development and Internal Promotion:**
P&G is known for its "build from within" model, where most employees are recruited at entry level and developed into leadership roles over time. This system emphasizes structured career progression, leadership training, and role rotation, ensuring a consistent supply of capable leaders familiar with the company's culture and values.
- **Diversity, Inclusion, and Employee Well-Being:**
The company actively promotes a diverse workforce by focusing on equal opportunities, gender balance, and cultural inclusivity. Beyond recruitment, P&G also invests in employee well-being, offering initiatives that support mental and physical health, flexible work arrangements, and employee resource groups to build a sense of belonging.
- **Performance Management and Early Responsibility:**
Employees, even at the early stages of their careers, are entrusted with significant responsibilities. This approach fosters ownership and accountability while accelerating learning. Regular check-ins, goal alignment discussions, and transparent feedback systems replace outdated annual reviews, enabling continuous growth and alignment with business objectives.
- **Global Adaptability and Alignment with Strategy:**
As a multinational organization, P&G adapts its HR strategies to local contexts while preserving its global culture and standards. Cross-functional roles, international assignments, and region-specific training ensure that employees are prepared to operate in diverse environments. Importantly, all HR initiatives are closely tied to the company's strategic goals, ensuring that workforce practices directly contribute to innovation, agility, and long-term success.

9. EMERGING ISSUES & STRATEGIES :

As one of the world's leading multinational companies in the consumer goods sector, Procter & Gamble (P&G) consistently evolves its human resource practices to address changing global realities. Shifts in workforce demographics, the rapid pace of digital adoption, rising demand for flexible work options, and post-pandemic adjustments have made HRM more dynamic and challenging. To remain competitive, P&G integrates forward-looking strategies with employee-focused initiatives that address these emerging priorities.

(i) Digital Transformation and Workforce Upskilling:

Advances in artificial intelligence, automation, and data analytics are reshaping business operations. In response, P&G prioritizes reskilling and digital capability building, equipping its workforce with the knowledge and tools needed to thrive in a technology-driven environment. Training platforms and continuous learning opportunities ensure employees remain agile in adapting to digital change.

(ii) Remote Work and Hybrid Models:

The pandemic accelerated the global shift toward flexible work. P&G has embraced hybrid structures, enabling employees to balance in-person collaboration with remote productivity. Investments in digital tools, virtual communication platforms, and work-life balance initiatives allow the company to sustain high performance while meeting modern workforce expectations.

(iii) Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI):

Operating across diverse cultural and geographic contexts requires a strong focus on inclusivity. P&G strengthens its DEI agenda by embedding equity principles into recruitment, leadership development, and workplace culture. By creating an environment where different perspectives are valued, the company enhances innovation and fosters a sense of belonging among employees worldwide.

(iv) Employee Retention and Engagement:

Competition for talent remains intense across industries. To retain skilled professionals, P&G emphasizes personalized career development, meaningful work opportunities, and support for mental and emotional well-being. These measures strengthen employee loyalty, improve engagement, and position the company as an attractive employer.

(v) Sustainability and Purpose-Driven Work:

Modern employees increasingly expect their work to connect with larger social and environmental goals. P&G integrates sustainability and corporate responsibility into its HR strategy, enabling staff to see a direct link between their contributions and the company's broader impact. This alignment with purpose enhances long-term motivation and commitment.

10. COMPARISON OF THE PERFORMANCE WITH COMPETITORS :

(1) Revenue Growth & Sales Trends:

Procter & Gamble (P&G) has demonstrated consistent revenue growth, reaching \$84.04 billion in 2024, a 2.48% increase from the previous year. This growth has been primarily driven by strategic pricing adjustments rather than significant volume increases (Khan 2017). [142]. In contrast, Unilever's revenue for 2024 was \$65.75 billion, marking a 1.92% increase from 2023, reflecting slower growth in mature markets (Mande & Olaide Taofeek (2022). [143]). Colgate-Palmolive reported \$20.10 billion in revenue for 2024, a 3.31% increase from the previous year, showing steady performance in oral care (Gobbilla & Radhika (2025). [144]).

(2) Profitability & Financial Strength:

P&G's net earnings for 2024 were \$14.9 billion, with a net margin of 17.8%, significantly higher than competitors like Unilever (6.37 billion euros net income) and Colgate-Palmolive (\$4.27 billion operating income), demonstrating superior efficiency in converting revenue to profit. The company's strong cash reserves and manageable debt levels give it financial resilience and the ability to invest in innovation, reward shareholders, and weather economic downturns.

(3) Market Exposure & Geographic Risks:

Nearly two-thirds of P&G's revenue comes from developed markets, providing stability but limiting growth potential (Aquino Jr. et al. (2022). [145]). Unilever, by contrast, has a more balanced geographic presence, with higher exposure to emerging markets that offer faster growth but greater currency and regulatory risks. P&G's reliance on price increases rather than volume growth makes it vulnerable to consumer trade-downs and competitive pressures from local brands or private labels.

(4) Competitive Positioning & Brand Strength:

P&G has focused on its core “power brands” such as Tide, Pampers, and Gillette, divesting weaker products to maintain profitability and brand equity. Unilever has also strengthened its core brands but faces added complexity due to its broader product portfolio, which includes food and refreshments.

(5) Weaknesses & Risks Relative to Competitors:

Despite its strengths, P&G faces challenges in the Beauty and Baby Care segments, with increasing competition from both global and local insurgent brands. Its heavy reliance on pricing strategies may also backfire if consumers resist increases or shift to lower-cost alternatives.

11. SUGGESTIONS BASED ON THE STUDY :

(i) Customer Satisfaction – Elevating Experiences Through Personalization and Trust:

- **AI-Powered Personalization:** Harness AI-driven analytics to anticipate consumer preferences and craft hyper-personalized product recommendations, experiences, and communications that resonate at an individual level.
- **Omnichannel After-Sales Engagement:** Implement interactive customer support via chatbots, social media, and virtual assistants to provide seamless post-purchase assistance, reducing friction and enhancing satisfaction.
- **Dynamic Feedback Loops:** Use real-time sentiment analysis, social listening, and micro-surveys to capture evolving consumer perceptions and address concerns proactively.
- **Gamified Loyalty Programs:** Create engaging, rewards-based loyalty platforms that encourage repeat purchases, community interaction, and brand advocacy.
- **Sustainability Transparency:** Clearly communicate P&G’s eco-conscious practices, sustainable sourcing, and circular economy efforts to build trust with environmentally conscious consumers.

(ii) Innovation – Designing the Future with Agility and Sustainability:

- **Next-Gen R&D Investments:** Prioritize R&D in health, wellness, and eco-friendly solutions to address evolving consumer demands and anticipate emerging market trends.
- **Tech-Enabled Product Innovation:** Integrate AI, IoT, and advanced analytics to accelerate product development cycles, ensure consistent quality, and reduce time-to-market.
- **Collaborative Innovation Ecosystem:** Co-create with startups, universities, and tech firms to unlock disruptive solutions, diversify the innovation pipeline, and stay ahead of competitors.
- **Sustainable by Design:** Embed circular economy principles in product design, packaging, and lifecycle management to produce marketable and environmentally responsible innovations.
- **Consumer-Driven Ideation:** Use predictive analytics, trend monitoring, and direct customer insights to guide new product concepts and ensure relevance in diverse markets.

(iii) Market Expansion – Strategically Scaling Across Borders:

- **Target High-Growth Emerging Markets:** Focus on regions with rising consumer demand, adapting products, pricing, and messaging to local cultural and economic contexts.
- **Omnichannel & E-Commerce Integration:** Strengthen online platforms, mobile apps, and seamless offline-online experiences to capture both digital and traditional sales channels.
- **Strategic Alliances & Partnerships:** Collaborate with local distributors, retailers, and influencers to increase visibility, trust, and market penetration.
- **Portfolio Diversification for Market Fit:** Expand into complementary product categories aligned with local demand trends, enhancing overall market share.
- **Localized Marketing Campaigns:** Deploy culturally resonant, language-specific, and regionally tailored marketing initiatives to maximize engagement, loyalty, and brand affinity.

P&G can enhance customer satisfaction by creating personalized, seamless, and trust-driven experiences. Innovation should focus on agile, sustainable, and consumer-inspired solutions that anticipate market trends. Market expansion efforts must target high-growth regions with tailored strategies, omnichannel integration, and strategic partnerships. Together, these approaches will strengthen P&G’s global presence, brand loyalty, and long-term growth.

12. CONCLUSIONS :

(i) Summary of Key Findings:

The analysis of Procter & Gamble (P&G) highlights the company’s robust financial health, strong funding structure, and consistent revenue growth driven by operational efficiency and strategic pricing.

P&G's technological initiatives, including AI integration, digital transformation, and supply chain modernization, have enhanced operational agility and innovation capabilities. Marketing strategies focusing on brand differentiation, sustainability, and consumer engagement, combined with a well-structured human resource management system, have enabled P&G to maintain a competitive advantage while responding effectively to global market challenges. Overall, the study underscores P&G's ability to balance profitability, innovation, and long-term sustainability.

(ii) Value of P&G Company as a Case Study:

P&G serves as a valuable case study for understanding how a multinational corporation can master global markets through strategic planning, innovation, and consumer-centric approaches. The company exemplifies the effective integration of financial management, technological advancement, marketing excellence, and human capital development. Insights from P&G's practices, such as targeted brand management, adaptive market strategies, and digital engagement, provide actionable lessons for other firms aiming to scale globally while maintaining operational and financial discipline. Its case offers a rich example of how continuous innovation and strategic alignment drive market leadership (Aithal (2017). [146]).

(iii) Final Reflections on Mastering Global Markets:

The study demonstrates that sustaining global market leadership requires a holistic approach where innovation, strategy, and consumer understanding are tightly interconnected. P&G's emphasis on technological adoption, talent development, sustainability, and responsiveness to market trends illustrates how companies can navigate complex international landscapes while maintaining brand equity and shareholder value (Aithal (2025). [147]). Ultimately, P&G's journey reflects the importance of combining long-term strategic vision with agile execution, making it a benchmark for businesses aspiring to achieve excellence in global markets.

REFERENCES :

- [1] Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99-120. [Google Scholar](#)
- [2] Woodside, A. G., & Wilson, E. J. (2003). Case study research methods for theory building. *Journal of business & industrial marketing*, 18(6/7), 493-508. [Google Scholar](#)
- [3] Van den Besselaar, P., & Heimeriks, G. (2006). Mapping research topics using word-reference co-occurrences: A method and an exploratory case study. *Scientometrics*, 68(3), 377-393. [Google Scholar](#)
- [4] Rahimian, M. M., & Rajabzadeh Ghatari, A. (2017). Measuring Supply Chain Resilience using Complex Adaptive Systems approach; Case Study: Iranian Pharmaceutical Industry. *Modern Research in Decision Making*, 2(2), 155-195. [Google Scholar](#)
- [5] Aithal, P. S. (2017). Company Analysis–The Beginning Step for Scholarly Research. *International Journal of Case Studies in Business, IT and Education (IJCSBE)*, 1(1), 1-18. [Google Scholar](#)
- [6] Lichtenthaler, U. (2011). The evolution of technology licensing management: identifying five strategic approaches. *R&D Management*, 41(2), 173-189. [Google Scholar](#)
- [7] Luo, X., & Bhattacharya, C. B. (2006). Corporate social responsibility, customer satisfaction, and market value. *Journal of Marketing*, 70(4), 1-18. [Google Scholar](#)
- [8] Aithal, P. S. (2017). An effective method of developing business case studies based on company analysis. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Modern Education (IJERME)*, 2(1), 16-27. [Google Scholar](#)
- [9] Aaker, D. A. (2012). *Building strong brands*. Simon and Schuster. [Google Scholar](#)
- [10] Keller, K. L. (2003). Strategic branding management: Building, measuring, and managing brand equity. [Google Scholar](#)
- [11] Schivinski, B., & Dabrowski, D. (2016). The effect of social media communication on consumer perceptions of brands. *Journal of Marketing Communications*, 22(2), 189-214. [Google Scholar](#)

- [12] Christodoulides, G., & De Chernatony, L. (2010). Consumer-based brand equity conceptualisation and measurement: a literature review. *International journal of market research*, 52(1), 43-66. [Google Scholar](#)
- [13] Yoo, B., & Donthu, N. (2001). Developing and validating a multidimensional consumer-based brand equity scale. *Journal of Business Research*, 52(1), 1-14. [Google Scholar](#)
- [14] Chauhan, J. S. (2023). Brand Loyalty and Its Impact on Repeat Purchase Behaviour of Customers: A Cross-Sectional Study in the FMCG Context. *Psychology and Education*, 55(1), 684-692. [Google Scholar](#)
- [15] Dwivedi, A., & McDonald, R. (2018). Building brand authenticity in fast-moving consumer goods via consumer perceptions of brand marketing communications. *European Journal of Marketing*, 52(7/8), 1387-1411. [Google Scholar](#)
- [16] Bonifield, C. M., & Tomas, A. M. (2009). Intellectual property issues for marketers in the virtual world. *Journal of Brand Management*, 16(8), 571-581. [Google Scholar](#)
- [17] Brown, T., & Wyatt, J. (2015). Design thinking for social innovation. *Annual Review of Policy Design*, 3(1), 1-10. [Google Scholar](#)
- [18] Dodgson, M., Gann, D. M., & Salter, A. (2008). *The management of technological innovation: strategy and practice*. Oxford University Press. [Google Scholar](#)
- [19] Tidd, J. (2005). Integrating technological market and organizational change. *Managing innovation*. [Google Scholar](#)
- [20] Prahalad, C. K., & Ramaswamy, V. (2004). Co-creation experiences: The next practice in value creation. *Journal of interactive marketing*, 18(3), 5-14. [Google Scholar](#)
- [21] Pinegar, J. S. (2006). What customers want: using outcome-driven innovation to create breakthrough products and services by Anthony W. Ulwick. [Google Scholar](#)
- [22] Christensen, C. M., Hall, T., Dillon, K., & Duncan, D. S. (2016). Know your customers' jobs to be done. *Harvard business review*, 94(9), 54-62. [Google Scholar](#)
- [23] Chesbrough, H. W. (2003). *Open innovation: The new imperative for creating and profiting from technology*. Harvard Business Press. [Google Scholar](#)
- [24] Enkel, E., Gassmann, O., & Chesbrough, H. (2009). Open R&D and open innovation: exploring the phenomenon. *R&D Management*, 39(4), 311-316. [Google Scholar](#)
- [25] Hoyer, W. D., Chandy, R., Dorotic, M., Krafft, M., & Singh, S. S. (2010). Consumer cocreation in new product development. *Journal of Service Research*, 13(3), 283-296. [Google Scholar](#)
- [26] Goffin, K., & Mitchell, R. (2025). *Innovation management*. Bloomsbury Publishing. [Google Scholar](#)
- [27] Epstein, M. J., & Roy, M. J. (2001). Sustainability in action: Identifying and measuring the key performance drivers. *Long range planning*, 34(5), 585-604. [Google Scholar](#)
- [28] Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2018). Creating shared value: How to reinvent capitalism—And unleash a wave of innovation and growth. In *Managing sustainable business: An executive education case and textbook* (pp. 323-346). Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands. [Google Scholar](#)
- [29] Montiel, I., & Delgado-Ceballos, J. (2014). Defining and measuring corporate sustainability: Are we there yet?. *Organization & Environment*, 27(2), 113-139. [Google Scholar](#)
- [30] Charles Jr, O. H., Schmidheiny, S., & Watts, P. (2017). *Walking the talk: The business case for sustainable development*. Routledge. [Google Scholar](#)
- [31] Eccles, R. G., Ioannou, I., & Serafeim, G. (2014). The impact of corporate sustainability on organizational processes and performance. *Management science*, 60(11), 2835-2857. [Google Scholar](#)

- [32] Nidumolu, R., Prahalad, C. K., & Rangaswami, M. R. (2013). Why sustainability is now the key driver of innovation. *IEEE Engineering Management Review*, 41(2), 30-37. [Google Scholar](#)
- [33] Crane, A., Matten, D., Glozer, S., & Spence, L. J. (2019). *Business ethics: Managing corporate citizenship and sustainability in the age of globalization*. Oxford University Press. [Google Scholar](#)
- [34] Sharma, S., & Henriques, I. (2005). Stakeholder influences on sustainability practices in the Canadian forest products industry. *Strategic management journal*, 26(2), 159-180. [Google Scholar](#)
- [35] Schaltegger, S., & Wagner, M. (2011). Sustainable entrepreneurship and sustainability innovation: categories and interactions. *Business strategy and the environment*, 20(4), 222-237. [Google Scholar](#)
- [36] Kruse, T. A. (2007). Minority expropriation and shareholder activism following Olivetti's hostile takeover of Telecom Italia. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 15(2), 133-143. [Google Scholar](#)
- [37] Brown, T., & Wyatt, J. (2015). Design thinking for social innovation. *Annual Review of Policy Design*, 3(1), 1-10. [Google Scholar](#)
- [38] Prahalad, C. K., & Ramaswamy, V. (2004). Co-creation experiences: The next practice in value creation. *Journal of interactive marketing*, 18(3), 5-14. [Google Scholar](#)
- [39] Hoyer, W. D., Chandy, R., Dorotic, M., Krafft, M., & Singh, S. S. (2010). Consumer cocreation in new product development. *Journal of Service Research*, 13(3), 283-296. [Google Scholar](#)
- [40] Pinegar, J. S. (2006). What customers want: using outcome-driven innovation to create breakthrough products and services by Anthony W. Ulwick. [Google Scholar](#)
- [41] Christensen, C. M., Hall, T., Dillon, K., & Duncan, D. S. (2016). Know your customers' jobs to be done. *Harvard business review*, 94(9), 54-62. [Google Scholar](#)
- [42] Dodgson, M., Gann, D. M., & Salter, A. (2008). *The management of technological innovation: strategy and practice*. Oxford University Press. [Google Scholar](#)
- [43] Tidd, J. (2005). Integrating technological market and organizational change. *Managing innovation*. [Google Scholar](#)
- [44] Chesbrough, H. W. (2003). *Open innovation: The new imperative for creating and profiting from technology*. Harvard Business Press. [Google Scholar](#)
- [45] Enkel, E., Gassmann, O., & Chesbrough, H. (2009). Open R&D and open innovation: exploring the phenomenon. *R&D Management*, 39(4), 311-316. [Google Scholar](#)
- [46] Goffin, K., & Mitchell, R. (2025). *Innovation management*. Bloomsbury Publishing. [Google Scholar](#)
- [47] Nidumolu, R., Prahalad, C. K., & Rangaswami, M. R. (2013). Why sustainability is now the key driver of innovation. *IEEE Engineering Management Review*, 41(2), 30-37. [Google Scholar](#)
- [48] Schaltegger, S., & Wagner, M. (2011). Sustainable entrepreneurship and sustainability innovation: categories and interactions. *Business strategy and the environment*, 20(4), 222-237. [Google Scholar](#)
- [49] Sheth, J. N., Sisodia, R. S., & Sharma, A. (2000). The antecedents and consequences of customer-centric marketing. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 28(1), 55-66. [Google Scholar](#)
- [50] Narver, J. C., & Slater, S. F. (1990). The effect of a market orientation on business profitability. *Journal of Marketing*, 54(4), 20-35. [Google Scholar](#)
- [51] Prahalad, C. K., & Ramaswamy, V. (2004). Co-creation experiences: The next practice in value creation. *Journal of interactive marketing*, 18(3), 5-14. [Google Scholar](#)

- [52] Hoyer, W. D., Chandy, R., Dorotic, M., Krafft, M., & Singh, S. S. (2010). Consumer cocreation in new product development. *Journal of Service Research*, 13(3), 283-296. [Google Scholar](#)
- [53] Payne, A. F., Storbacka, K., & Frow, P. (2008). Managing the co-creation of value. *Journal of the academy of marketing science*, 36(1), 83-96. [Google Scholar](#)
- [54] Verhoef, P. C., Lemon, K. N., Parasuraman, A., Roggeveen, A., Tsiros, M., & Schlesinger, L. A. (2009). Customer experience creation: Determinants, dynamics, and management strategies. *Journal of Retailing*, 85(1), 31-41. [Google Scholar](#)
- [55] Lemon, K. N., & Verhoef, P. C. (2016). Understanding customer experience throughout the customer journey. *Journal of Marketing*, 80(6), 69-96. [Google Scholar](#)
- [56] Ulwick, A. W. (1999). *Business strategy formulation: theory, process, and the intellectual revolution*. Bloomsbury Publishing USA. [Google Scholar](#)
- [57] Nidumolu, R., Prahalad, C. K., & Rangaswami, M. R. (2009). Why sustainability is now the key driver of innovation. *Harvard business review*, 87(9), 56-64. [Google Scholar](#)
- [58] Abeysekera, N., Kotler, P., Kartajaya, H., & Setiawan, I. (2020). Marketing 4.0: Moving from Traditional to Digital. [Google Scholar](#)
- [59] Grönroos, C., & Voima, P. (2013). Critical service logic: making sense of value creation and co-creation. *Journal of the academy of marketing science*, 41(2), 133-150. [Google Scholar](#)
- [60] Epstein, M. J., & Roy, M. J. (2001). Sustainability in action: Identifying and measuring the key performance drivers. *Long range planning*, 34(5), 585-604. [Google Scholar](#)
- [61] Montiel, I., & Delgado-Ceballos, J. (2014). Defining and measuring corporate sustainability: Are we there yet?. *Organization & Environment*, 27(2), 113-139. [Google Scholar](#)
- [62] Jackson, G. C., Stoltman, J. J., & Taylor, A. (1994). Moving beyond trade-offs. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 24(1), 4-10. [Google Scholar](#)
- [63] Nidumolu, R., Prahalad, C. K., & Rangaswami, M. R. (2013). Why sustainability is now the key driver of innovation. *IEEE Engineering Management Review*, 41(2), 30-37. [Google Scholar](#)
- [64] Eccles, R. G., Ioannou, I., & Serafeim, G. (2014). The impact of corporate sustainability on organizational processes and performance. *Management science*, 60(11), 2835-2857. [Google Scholar](#)
- [65] Hart, S. L. (1995). A natural-resource-based view of the firm. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(4), 986-1014. [Google Scholar](#)
- [66] Sharma, S., & Henriques, I. (2005). Stakeholder influences on sustainability practices in the Canadian forest products industry. *Strategic management journal*, 26(2), 159-180. [Google Scholar](#)
- [67] Lo, S. F., & Sheu, H. J. (2007). Is corporate sustainability a value-increasing strategy for business?. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 15(2), 345-358. [Google Scholar](#)
- [68] Schaltegger, S., & Wagner, M. (2011). Sustainable entrepreneurship and sustainability innovation: categories and interactions. *Business strategy and the environment*, 20(4), 222-237. [Google Scholar](#)
- [69] Aragón-Correa, J. A., & Sharma, S. (2003). A contingent resource-based view of proactive corporate environmental strategy. *Academy of Management Review*, 28(1), 71-88. [Google Scholar](#)
- [70] Huston, L., & Sakkab, N. (2006). Connect and develop. *Harvard business review*, 84(3), 58-66. [Google Scholar](#)
- [71] Dodgson, M., Gann, D., & Salter, A. (2006). The role of technology in the shift towards open innovation: the case of Procter & Gamble. *R&D Management*, 36(3), 333-346. [Google Scholar](#)

- [72] Lemon, K. N., & Verhoef, P. C. (2016). Understanding customer experience throughout the customer journey. *Journal of Marketing*, 80(6), 69-96. [Google Scholar](#)
- [73] Tidd, J. (2005). Integrating technological market and organizational change. *Managing innovation*. [Google Scholar](#)
- [74] Pinegar, J. S. (2006). What customers want: using outcome-driven innovation to create breakthrough products and services by Anthony W. Ulwick. [Google Scholar](#)
- [75] Christensen, C. M., Hall, T., Dillon, K., & Duncan, D. S. (2016). Know your customers' jobs to be done. *Harvard business review*, 94(9), 54-62. [Google Scholar](#)
- [76] Yoo, B., & Donthu, N. (2001). Developing and validating a multidimensional consumer-based brand equity scale. *Journal of Business Research*, 52(1), 1-14. [Google Scholar](#)
- [77] Nidumolu, R., Prahalad, C. K., & Rangaswami, M. R. (2013). Why sustainability is now the key driver of innovation. *IEEE Engineering Management Review*, 41(2), 30-37. [Google Scholar](#)
- [78] Eccles, R. G., Ioannou, I., & Serafeim, G. (2014). The impact of corporate sustainability on organizational processes and performance. *Management science*, 60(11), 2835-2857. [Google Scholar](#)
- [79] Aragón-Correa, J. A., & Sharma, S. (2003). A contingent resource-based view of proactive corporate environmental strategy. *Academy of Management Review*, 28(1), 71-88. [Google Scholar](#)
- [80] Hollweck, T. (2015). Robert K. Yin.(2014). Case Study Research Design and Methods . *Canadian Journal of Program Evaluation*, 30(1), 108-110. [Google Scholar](#)
- [81] Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). Building theories from case study research. *Academy of management review*, 14(4), 532-550. [Google Scholar](#)
- [82] Dubois, A., & Gadde, L. E. (2002). Systematic combining: an abductive approach to case research. *Journal of Business Research*, 55(7), 553-560. [Google Scholar](#)
- [83] Baxter, P., & Jack, S. (2008). Qualitative case study methodology: Study design and implementation for novice researchers. *The qualitative report*, 13(4), 544-559. [Google Scholar](#)
- [84] Ridder, H. G. (2017). The theoretical contribution of case study research designs. *Business research*, 10(2), 281-305. [Google Scholar](#)
- [85] Abdullatheef, K., & Nobanee, H. Financial Performance Analysis of Procter & Gamble: Insights from Liquidity, Leverage, and Profitability Ratios. [Google Scholar](#)
- [86] Ng, H. M. E., Xu, J., Liu, Q., & Rangan, A. (2022). Changes in package sizes of savoury snacks through exploration of Euromonitor and industry perspectives. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 19(15), 9359. [Google Scholar](#)
- [87] Weber, J., & Dunham, R. S. (Eds.). (2024). *The Routledge Companion to Business Journalism*. Routledge. [Google Scholar](#)
- [88] Premi, R. (2013). Corporate Parenting (Case in context-Acquisition of Gillette by Procter & Gamble). *OORJA*, 11(1). [Google Scholar](#)
- [89] Masur, J. S., & Ouellette, L. L. (2025). *Patent Law: Cases, Problems, and Materials (2025)*. Lisa Larrimore Ouellette. [Google Scholar](#)
- [90] Nilkant, D., Kumar, S. H., Kiran, P., & Ghosal, S. (2025). Transforming Retail: The Future of Sustainable Consumer Shopping Habits and Business Practices. In *Sustainable Practices in the Fashion and Retail Industry* (pp. 1-32). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. [Google Scholar](#)
- [91] Panagiotou, G. (2003). Bringing SWOT into focus. *Business strategy review*, 14(2), 8-10. [Google Scholar](#)
- [92] Yüksel, I. (2012). Developing a multi-criteria decision-making model for PESTEL analysis. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 7(24), 52. [Google Scholar](#)

- [93] Porter, M. E. (2008). The five competitive forces that shape strategy. *Harvard business review*, 86(1), 78. [Google Scholar](#)
- [94] Freeman, R. E. (2010). *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*. Cambridge University Press. [Google Scholar](#)
- [95] Elkington, J., & Rowlands, I. H. (1999). Cannibals with forks: The triple bottom line of 21st century business. *Alternatives Journal*, 25(4), 42. [Google Scholar](#)
- [96] Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99-120. [Google Scholar](#)
- [97] Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative research in psychology*, 3(2), 77-101. [Google Scholar](#)
- [98] Nowell, L. S., Norris, J. M., White, D. E., & Moules, N. J. (2017). Thematic analysis: Striving to meet the trustworthiness criteria. *International journal of qualitative methods*, 16(1), 1609406917733847. [Google Scholar](#)
- [99] Chandler, D., Haunschild, P. R., Rhee, M., & Beckman, C. M. (2013). The effects of firm reputation and status on interorganizational network structure. *Strategic Organization*, 11(3), 217-244. [Google Scholar](#)
- [100] Camp, R. C. (2024). *Benchmarking: The search for industry best practices that lead to superior performance*. CRC Press. [Google Scholar](#)
- [101] Anand, G., & Kodali, R. (2008). Benchmarking the benchmarking models. *Benchmarking: An international journal*, 15(3), 257-291. [Google Scholar](#)
- [102] Low, G. S., & Fullerton, R. A. (1994). Brands, brand management, and the brand manager system: A critical-historical evaluation. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 31(2), 173-190. [Google Scholar](#)
- [103] Maclean, M., Harvey, C., Sillince, J. A., & Golant, B. D. (2014). Living up to the past? Ideological sensemaking in organizational transition. *Organization*, 21(4), 543-567. [Google Scholar](#)
- [104] Huston, L., & Sakkab, N. (2006). Connect and develop. *Harvard Business Review*, 84(3), 58-66. [Google Scholar](#)
- [105] Dodgson, M., Gann, D., & Salter, A. (2006). The role of technology in the shift towards open innovation: the case of Procter & Gamble. *R&D Management*, 36(3), 333-346. [Google Scholar](#)
- [106] McGuigan, L. (2015). Procter & Gamble, mass media, and the making of American life. *Media, Culture & Society*, 37(6), 887-903. [Google Scholar](#)
- [107] Aimé, I., Berger-Remy, F., & Laporte, M. E. (2018). Lessons from nearly a century of the brand management system. *Journal of Historical Research in Marketing*, 10(4), 420-450. [Google Scholar](#)
- [108] Bartkus, B. R., & Glassman, M. (2008). Do firms practice what they preach? The relationship between mission statements and stakeholder management. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 83(2), 207-216. [Google Scholar](#)
- [109] Bart Baetz, C. K. M. C. (1998). The relationship between mission statements and firm performance: An exploratory study. *Journal of Management Studies*, 35(6), 823-853. [Google Scholar](#)
- [110] John, V. M. (2002). The mission statement is a strategic tool when used properly. *Management Decision*, 40(5), 448-455. [Google Scholar](#)
- [111] Analoui, F., & Karami, A. (2002). CEOs and the development of a meaningful mission statement. *Corporate Governance: The international journal of business in society*, 2(3), 13-20. [Google Scholar](#)

- [112] Kumar, N., & Steenkamp, J. B. E. (2013). *Brand breakout: How emerging market brands will go global*. Springer. [Google Scholar](#)
- [113] Boadu, Q. (2017). *An investigation of the external and internal factors affecting the level of productivity at Procter and Gamble Company* (Doctoral dissertation, Тернопільський національний технічний університет ім. Івана Пулюя). [Google Scholar](#)
- [114] Anderson, E. W., Fornell, C., & Lehmann, D. R. (1994). Customer satisfaction, market share, and profitability: Findings from Sweden. *Journal of Marketing*, 58(3), 53-66. [Google Scholar](#)
- [115] Boumphrey, S. (2020). How will consumer markets evolve after Coronavirus. *Euromonitor International*. [Google Scholar](#)
- [116] Kapferer, J. N. (2012). *The new strategic brand management: Advanced insights and strategic thinking*. Kogan Page Publishers. [Google Scholar](#)
- [117] Till, B. D., Baack, D., & Waterman, B. (2011). Strategic brand association maps: developing brand insight. *Journal of product & brand management*, 20(2), 92-100. [Google Scholar](#)
- [118] Priporas, C. V., & Kamenidou, I. (2011). Perceptions of potential postgraduate Greek business students towards UK universities, brand, and brand reputation. *Journal of Brand Management*, 18(4), 264-273. [Google Scholar](#)
- [119] Boadu, Q. (2017). *An investigation of the external and internal factors affecting the level of productivity at Procter and Gamble Company* (Doctoral dissertation, Тернопільський національний технічний університет ім. Івана Пулюя). [Google Scholar](#)
- [120] Anderson, E. W., Fornell, C., & Lehmann, D. R. (1994). Customer satisfaction, market share, and profitability: Findings from Sweden. *Journal of Marketing*, 58(3), 53-66. [Google Scholar](#)
- [121] Ghaleb, B. D. S. (2024). The importance of using SWOT analysis in business success. *Int. J. Asian Bus. Manag.*, 3(4), 557-564. [Google Scholar](#)
- [122] Aithal, P. S., & Kumar, P. M. (2015). Applying SWOC analysis to an institution of higher education. *International Journal of Management, IT and Engineering*, 5(7), 231-247. [Google Scholar](#)
- [123] Wehrich, H. (1982). The TOWS matrix—A tool for situational analysis. *Long range planning*, 15(2), 54-66. [Google Scholar](#)
- [124] Wang, X. P., Zhang, J., & Yang, T. (2014). Hybrid SWOT approach for strategic planning and formulation in China's worldwide express mail service. *Journal of applied research and technology*, 12(2), 230-238. [Google Scholar](#)
- [125] WICKRAMASINGHE, V. & Takano, S. E. (2009). Application of combined SWOT and analytic hierarchy process (AHP) for tourism revival strategic marketing planning. In *Proceedings of the Eastern Asia Society for Transportation Studies Vol. 7 (The 8th International Conference of Eastern Asia Society for Transportation Studies, 2009)* (pp. 189-189). Eastern Asia Society for Transportation Studies. [Google Scholar](#)
- [126] Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2023). Incubationship—A Systematic Analysis of Recently Announced Super Innovation in Higher Education using SWOC, ABCD, and PESTL Frameworks. *International Journal of Case Studies in Business, IT, and Education (IJCSBE)*, 7(4), 48-90. [Google Scholar](#)
- [127] Ngongo, H. Y. (2024). Hubungan Tingkat Pengetahuan Kepala Keluarga terhadap Rokok dan Frekuensi Merokok. *Jurnal Kesehatan Kakinaan*, 1(1), 45-54. [Google Scholar](#)
- [128] Aithal, P. S., Shailashree, V., & Kumar, P. M. (2015). A New ABCD Technique to Analyze Business Models & Concepts. *International Journal of Management, IT and Engineering*, 5(4), 409-423. [Google Scholar](#)

- [129] Aithal, P. S., Shailashree, V., & Kumar, P. M. (2016). ABCD analysis of the Stage Model in Higher Education. *International Journal of Management, IT and Engineering*, 6(1), 11-24. [Google Scholar](#)
- [130] Aithal, P. S. (2016). Study on ABCD analysis technique for business models, business strategies, operating concepts & business systems. *International Journal in Management and Social Science*, 4(1), 95-115. [Google Scholar](#)
- [131] Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2023). *Stakeholders' Analysis of the Effect of Ubiquitous Education Technologies on Higher Education*. SSRN. [Google Scholar](#)
- [132] Aithal, P. S., Shailashree, V., & Kumar, P. M. (2016). Application of ABCD Analysis Framework on Private University System in India. *International journal of management sciences and business research*, 5(4), 159-170. [Google Scholar](#)
- [133] Prabhu, N., & Aithal, P. S. (2023). Quantitative ABCD Analysis of Green Banking Practices and its Impact on Using Green Banking Products. *International Journal of Applied Engineering and Management Letters (IJAEML)*, 7(1), 28-66. [Google Scholar](#)
- [134] Beaver, W. H. (1966). Financial ratios as predictors of failure. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 71-111. [Google Scholar](#)
- [135] Altman, E. I. (1968). Financial ratios, discriminant analysis, and the prediction of corporate bankruptcy. *The journal of finance*, 23(4), 589-609. [Google Scholar](#)
- [136] Ohlson, J. A. (1995). Earnings, book values, and dividends in equity valuation. *Contemporary accounting research*, 11(2), 661-687. [Google Scholar](#)
- [137] Biehl, H., Bleibtreu, C., & Stefani, U. (2024). The real effects of financial reporting: Evidence and suggestions for future research. *Journal of international accounting, auditing and taxation*, 54, 100594. [Google Scholar](#)
- [138] La Rocca, A., Mandelli, A., & Snehota, I. (2014). Netnography approach as a tool for marketing research: the case of Dash-P&G/TTV. *Management Decision*, 52(4), 689-704. [Google Scholar](#)
- [139] Anjali, K., & Menon, R. B. (2025, April). Development of Corporate Image Tool in the FMCG Sector: Leveraging Cause-Related Marketing for Sustainable Impact. In *2025 12th International Conference on Computing for Sustainable Global Development (INDIACom)* (pp. 1-6). IEEE. [Google Scholar](#)
- [140] 'Bidyarthi', H. J., Dande, M. A., Kuchar, P. M., & Mishra, S. M. (2013). HUL's tidy advertising strategy—a case study of the Indian laundry segment. *International Journal of Indian Culture and Business Management*, 6(1), 69-78. [Google Scholar](#)
- [141] Shaikh, A. U. H., Solangi, A., & Raza, A. (2022). An In-Depth Comparative Analysis of Financial Statement Metrics: A Study of Unilever and P&G. *Journal of Development and Social Sciences*, 3(3), 594-609. [Google Scholar](#)
- [142] Khan, A. (2017). Impact of accounting information system on the organizational performance: a case study of Procter and Gamble. *Star Research Journal*, 5(12), 26-30. [Google Scholar](#)
- [143] Mande, S., & Olaide TAOFEK, K. (2022). Effect of consumer behaviour on sustainable development (A case study of Unilever Nigeria PLC). *ESUT Journal of Management Sciences*, 15(01), 66-72. [Google Scholar](#)
- [144] Gobbilla, U., & Radhika, G. (2025). A Study on the impact of GST on revenue and profitability of Hindustan Unilever Limited (HUL). *International Journal of Engineering, Business and Management*, 9(3), 620086. [Google Scholar](#)
- [145] Aquino Jr, P. G., Jalagat Jr, R. C., Mubeen, M., Mehmood, W., & Zehra, B. (2022). Enterprise risk management: An important process for feasible profit and growth. *Contaduría y administración*, 67(2). [Google Scholar](#)

- [146] Aithal, P. S. (2017). ABCD analysis as a research methodology in company case studies. *International Journal of Management, Technology, and Social Sciences (IJMTS)*, 2(2), 40-54. [Google Scholar](#)
- [147] Aithal, P. S. (2025). Company Analysis of OpenAI with Special Emphasis on Its Future Strategies. *Poornaprajna International Journal of Emerging Technologies (PIJET)*, 2(1), 50-90. [Google Scholar](#)
